# NISPAcee 2013

# Shifting to open source is cheaper

# Catalin Vrabie

#### Abstract

The last decade has changed the face of electronic world: from Web 2.0 to open source software; from e-(electronic) to m- (mobile) and now to *touch*. For Web developers is a real challenge to make compatible interfaces to all existing Internet browsers. This proves to be even more expensive than the application itself (Softnet; 2012; SIVECO, 2012). From the point of view of the big software development companies these events are just new challenges because they afford to have many employees with different skills and by that being able to cope with market demands. But what happens with the egovernment projects at the local level? Budgets are small, so hiring a company specialized in Web application development is too expensive, this responsibility usually falls on the employees of the IT department (Vrabie, 2011). If we add to this software component the hardware required for hosting the Web page inside, the budgets are already completely exceeded (or obviously they can choose some other cheap solution but whose reliability is very poor – reason for dissatisfaction among citizens).

The importance of the Web platforms for interacting with citizens is very well known (Friedman, 2005; Craig; 2006; Baltac, 2011). In this context, this paper aims to examine in terms of costs, the investments in open-source applications in parallel with the use of social networks as used today. The research methodology is using for the first time an adapted version of cost-effectiveness analysis. Empirical research will be carried out on two platforms of e-gov – one developed inside, and an open-source solution - used to support a complete interaction with the citizens. The working hypothesis starts from the fact that open source software is cheaper than those developed inside – but of course this is a question to debate at.

#### 1. Introduction

E-government has become extremely popular. Nowadays, it has become a viable alternative to traditional administrating methods, so it has been adopted by many institutions, especially because its wide application in various organizations. Specialized studies, published in the last five years, show a continued increase in the use of e-government in public organizations (Towards Maturity, 2012).

Today the term e-gov has come to be classified as:

- E-gov based on intranet (public institutions networks used for exchanging information inside the organization);
- E-gov based on Internet (used for interacting with citizens and business sector).

# 2. Cost-effectiveness analysis in implementing electronic systems in public administration

The Web potential for e-gov platforms has reached an impressive configuration, with several prestigious institutions shifting to open source solutions for their Web sites.

#### 2.1. Elements of analysis

In order to assess projects, institutions must appraise the efforts required and measure the expected impact. Efforts should be seen as the infrastructure costs associated with the particular project, its implementation and the running and management of it. On the other hand, the expected impact has two components: the benefits that are expected to arise from the project's implementation and the risks associated with achieving those benefits. To calculate the costs, benefits and risks, agencies can apply NPV methodology in project evaluation. Such an assessment will also show the strengths and weaknesses of the project, allowing the agency to make recommendations to improve project value. The analysis compares the costs related to the preparation, implementation and project management.

#### 2.2. Costs

Most estimates take into account only the operational costs of e-government portals. "Few analysts consider infrastructure development costs or internal transfers. [...] This rigorous and objective analysis, [... is] rare" (Brookings, 2001). This gives a distorted picture of costeffectiveness, because it ignores the costs of preparing and implementing the project. Since egov projects require a very high initial investment, this strongly affects the overall profitability of the project. It is therefore important to take into all costs into account, both initial investment and operating expenses.

There are three major categories of cost:

- Domestic investment to shift to computerized databases and information management;
- Costs of building an e-gov portal / implementation costs for open-source solutions;
- Management and maintenance costs of the portal.

2.2.1. *Domestic investment* is often ignored in analyses, although it is likely to be the biggest expense, as most governmental services today are still stored on paper (Schuppan, 2009).

The main types of cost will be:

Hardware:

• Servers and workstations, peripherals, network and communications infrastructure.

#### Software:

• Computer operating systems, communications software and document management

software;

• Software needed for executing a specific task: Database Management, ERP, CRM, etc.

#### Data digitization:

• The transfer of records to computerized databases, which can be a lengthy and costly process.

## Staff:

- Training employees will have to acquire operational skills. With newly created departments, some employees will have to transfer and acquire new skills (ECDL, 2012);
- Recruitment in many cases, existing staff may not be ready to adapt to changes, so there will be a need to recruit new employees;
- Some existing employees, especially those performing routine tasks, may have to be offered compensation to take on new or additional tasks;
- There is a need to increase wages in order to motivate people to accept change.

2.2.2. *The cost of building an e-gov portal* is a type of capital investment, usually large (especially for complex portals), however, much lower than the domestic investment detailed above (Meijer, 2011).

# Hardware and software to host the Web site:

• Servers, server operating software, data transmission / high bandwidth.

Website design and creation:

- Even if the work is outsourced, the process requires mobilization of personnel designated to coordinate the development; staff members should also be involved in the testing phase (Baltac, 2008.). All this can lead to an increase in personnel and / or payroll.
- If open source systems are used, such costs are zero.

Data digitization and integration between systems off-line and on-line:

• Even if different departments (financial, educational, management) transfer all their information onto computer databases, the site will need many of these databases to



be completely useful. In the first stage of implementation, both old and new systems (those on paper and databases) will be used together until all computer platforms can be migrated. Database migration and integration is a difficult and expensive process and often requires hiring external consultants (Janssen, Charalabibis, Kuk and Cresswell, 2011).

## 2.2.3. Management and maintenance costs are as follows:

#### Portal maintenance and support:

• The more complex the portal site, the more employees will be required to handle maintenance and support for the hardware and software used. If these functions are out-sourced, the additional costs must be accounted for (Webster, 2011).

#### Updating:

 Site users expect a much higher frequency of updates than used in traditional methods of service delivery. Information pages will also need to be updated often. This will require more people to work full-time to carry out the updates (Weill & Ross, 2000).

# Modernization and upgrading:

 The development of IT and the Internet is fast: private companies are modernizing their Web sites every few years (some even faster, depending on the industry); users will have similar expectations from governmental portals (Musso, 2000). Public institutions will need to add bandwidth, new features and faster processing capabilities.

#### Security issues:

• These are more serious in developing countries than in developed countries because of higher rates of hackers activity (Tapscott, 2009). Public institutions will have to pay special attention to increased security features of web sites.

#### Marketing and Advertising:

 Given that public institutions have a high degree of media-interest, e-gov projects are likely to receive wide media coverage, reducing the need for marketing activities. However, if such projects launch several campaigns, PR will lose its efficacy and

there will be a need to develop separate advertising campaigns (MacDermott, 2008). Costs for such campaigns can be quite large.

2.2.4. Case study: contracting an external Web developing company vs. an open source solution (Joomla)

Public Institution electronic platforms must, according to the current regulations to assure access to the interface for internal and external users, and assume the need for flexibility as a *sine qua non* condition for achieving success in the context of electronic governance dynamics. So, e-gov platforms must have all the facilities for integrating the services used in the their environment.

Looking at those aspects means that one of the most important issues is related to the cost of development, implementation and maintenance. We've used annual financial reports in order to find and calculate with the required accuracy, the costs for using two e-gov platforms during 2006 – 2012 for two Web sites of Romanian municipalities.

Below we present, in a shortened version, some aspects of these two software platforms:

System Feature	Private development	Open source
period	2006 - 2012	2006 – 2012
Print screen		
Access levels	2 (administrator, Internet users)	3 (administrator, power users, Internet users)
Features	Design/simplicity – 3 stars Navigability – 4 stars	Design/simplicity – 4 stars Navigability – 4 stars
Costs Inward investment Hardware Software Digitizing data Staff Developing	0 EURO 0 EURO 1350 EURO 525 EURO*12months*5years	2500 EURO 400 EURO 1350 EURO 525 EURO*12months*5years
Hosting Developing Digitization Administration costs	25 EURO*12months*5years 6000 EURO 1350 EURO	0 EURO 0 EURO 1350 EURO
Maintenance and support Updating Modernization Security Marketing	525 EURO*12months*5years 1000 EURO*2months*5years 1000 EURO*2months*5years 400 EURO*5years 10 EURO*12months*5years	525 EURO*12months*5years 0 EURO 0 EURO 400 EURO*5years 10 EURO*12months*5years

Table1. Private development vs. open source solution

#### Acknowledgements

We can observe from studying *Table 1. Private development vs. open source solution*, that the differences are not significant, but they exist. We can see that the private development hardware and software costs were zero, because it was the responsibility of the institution's developer but on the other hand open source solution incurred costs because it was necessary to purchase a server for information storage and an operating system, although this eliminated the cost of hosting.

The design also cost zero for the open source software and updating and upgrading are all free as well as the main package.

All other costs were the same because they involved infrastructure investment or HR.

As assumed at the beginning of the analysis, we see that the cost savings are close to 25,000 Euros during the five years of operation of the open-source solution.

#### References

- Baltac, V., 2008. Tehnologiile Informației și Administrația Publică, suport de curs; SNSPA Bucuresti;
- Brookings, 2001. The Economic Payoff of the Internet Revolution, , Washington, D.C.; Brookings Institute Press
- European Computer Driving Licence: http://www.ecdl.org.ro/despre\_ecdl.php?id=6 (Accessed January 2012);
- Janssen, M., Charalabibis, Y., Kuk, G. and Cresswell, T., 2011. Guest Editors' Introduction: E-government Interoperability, Infrastructure and Architecture: State-of-the-art and Challenges', Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research
- MacDermott, K., 2008. *Marketing Government: The public service and the permanent campaign*; School of Social Sciences The Australian National University;
- http://store.ectap.ro/carti/Romanian\_Public\_Management\_Reform.Volume\_2.pdf;
- Meijer, A., 2011. Co-production in an information age. New Public Governance, the Third Sector and Co-Production. Routledge;
- Musso, J., 2000. "Designing Web Technologies for Local Governance Reform: Good Management or Good Democracy." Political Communication 17(I);
- Schuppan, T., 2009. Reassessing outsourcing in ICT-enabled Public Management Examples from UK, Public Management Review, Routledge;
- SoftNet http://www.softnet.ro/;
- SIVECO http://www.siveco.ro/;
- Tapscott, D., 2009. *Grown Up Digital: How the Net Generation is Changing Your World*, McGraw Hill, New York, Chap. 2 "A Generation Bathed in Bits";
- Towards Maturity 2012. http://www.towardsmaturity.org/static/growing-maturity/

- Webster, C., 2011. *Public Administration as Surveillance*. The International Handbook of Surveillance Studies. Routledge;
- Weill P. & Ross, Jeanne, 2000. *IT Governance: How Top Performers Manage IT Decision Rights for Superior Results,* Harvard Business School Press.